IC 74-2053 20 September 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR:

General Wilson

SUBJECT:

Alerting and Warning Mechanisms

- 1. At the initial meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Alerting Memorandum, chaired by me on 19 September, several Committee members expressed reservations about the alerting concept on the grounds it would conflict with or duplicate functions which presumably would be the responsibility of a revitalized watch mechanism. An implication in their position was that the charter of the Watch Committee should be expanded to cover all warning, rather than be focused on strategic warning of enemy attack. As you are aware, Dr. Hall is pushing for the breader concept and CIA/DDI strongly supports the narrower concept. The issue will have to be addressed when the second (Watch) Ad Hoc Committee meets on 30 September.
- 2. It is our understanding that in our review of the warning function, the DCI is working from the following premises:
 - a. that warning is a responsibility of the community, not simply of a particular watch mechanism;
 - b. that responsibility for providing warning, at least for crisis situations short of military attack, should be focused more directly on the DCI to ensure more effective accountability;
 - c. that the bureaucratic mechanisms established to support him in this responsibility should be flexible and dynamic to avoid the kind of sterility that has over taken the Watch Committee operation. (Indeed, Mr. Colby suggested the possibility of eliminating the watch mechanism altogether); and
 - d. that such warning mechanisms should be kept as small as possible and rely for support primarily on such existing elements of the community as operations centers and current intelligence offices.

Approved For Recipie 2014/0545 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000900060007-4

	3.	In	proce	ediag w	ith the wo	rk of the	wo Ad	Hoc C	garm itt ees.
we	DFOD	ose	to ac	on the	basis of th	he foregoi	ng pre	mises.	Because,
ho.	wever	, 0	f the b	esie co	nceptual d	ifference	s which	h are a	parent in
the	com	mu	atty oz	this wi	ole quest	lon, we al	ready	doubt t	hat it will
be	2000	ble	to ac	ieve a	consensus	. We ma	y thus	end up	with tivo
die	tinct	ly d	iffere	at propo	sals to pr	esent to t	he DC	l for hi	s considera
tio	n.								

	4.	We	would	like	to	discus	s the	IC	Staff	positio	n on	this	i i nue
	you						we g	et	much	deeper	irito	the	wirk
f th	e Ad	Ho.	c Com	mitt	ee.								

Chief, PRD/IC

Distribution:

25X1

25X1 25X1 Orig - Addressee

1 - PRD Subject

1 - PRD Chron

1 - IC/Registry

25X1